

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PDC-0011	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US04/26886	International filing date (day/month/year) 18 August 2004 (18.08.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20 August 2003 (20.08.2003)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): E03B 9/02 and US Cl.: 137/272, 292, 293, 377		
Applicant MILLER, WAYNE E		
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>3</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of <u> </u> sheets.</p> <p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report</p> <p>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</p> <p>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</p> <p>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</p> <p>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</p> <p>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</p>		
Date of submission of the demand 21 March 2005 (21.03.2005)	Date of completion of this report 06 October 2005 (06.10.2005)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/ US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Authorized officer KEVIN L. LEE <i>Shawn D. Greene for</i> Telephone No. (571) 272-3750	

I. Basis of the report**1. With regard to the elements of the international application:***

- ☒ the international application as originally filed.
- ☒ the description:
pages 1-11 as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the claims:
pages 12-17, as originally filed
pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the drawings:
pages 1-26, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages NONE, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in printed form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☒ the description, pages NONE
- ☒ the claims, Nos. NONE
- ☒ the drawings, sheets/fig NONE

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US04/26886**V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement****1. STATEMENT**

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-40</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>1-40</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-40</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2) because the prior art, taken singly, does not teach or fairly suggest the invention as claimed.

Claims 1-11 and 17-26 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(3) because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a portable fire hydrant comprising a hydraulic cylinder coupled to a hydrant body and a water engagement mechanism coupled to the hydrant body, the mechanism comprising at least one locking member cooperating with the actuator to move to a position engaging a water main component.

Claims 12-16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(3) because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a portable fire hydrant comprising a bladder seal radially distensible from a hydrant body for creating a water-tight seal between the hydrant and a water main component.

Claims 27-33 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(3) because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a portable fire hydrant system comprising an electronic signaling device proximate an access above ground to a water main pipe disposed underground and configured for receiving a portable fire hydrant.

Claims 34-39 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(3) because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a portable fire hydrant system comprising a cover spanning access above ground to a water main pipe disposed underground and configured for receiving a portable fire hydrant, the cover including a locking mechanism that can be electronically or magnetically deactivated.

Claim 40 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(3) because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a portable fire hydrant comprising a water main pipe disposed underground and configured for receiving a portable fire hydrant and a lateral valve and shoe coupling disposed between the water main pipe and a connective pipe.

Claims 1-40 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) because the subject matter claimed can be used in industry.